

economy to more and more of our people. Opponents also frame this debate in a winners-and-losers context that is totally inappropriate to what is at stake here. Because a rising tide of economic growth raises all ships, there need be no losers when capital gains taxes are cut by our bill.

Finally, let me point out that this capital gains tax is broad but it also has a targeted element. It aims at directing investment in a way that maximizes the benefit for our economy. It promotes investment in small businesses—the firms that are driving job creation in our economy. It encourages people to leave their investments in small businesses, start-up businesses for a longer period of time, giving entrepreneurs the kind of predictable cash flow they need to make their businesses succeed.

The targeted feature of our capital gains tax cut will be very helpful to the kinds of small businesses we need for our future—the high technology businesses that will be the source of many new jobs in the next century, and that will be the source of our success in global markets. These businesses are high risk. They require a lot of capital investment early on. The payoff is down the road. And the benefits for America are, potentially, enormous. Not just jobs and profits for Americans. But exciting new technological innovations. New ways to educate our children. New medicines and medical devices. New services, and new opportunities for recreation. All these positive changes need the kind of investment our Capital Formation Act will encourage.

In closing, let me say that I see this bill as the first leg of a tripod of tax relief for the American people. The second leg is the President's tax credit for children and tax deduction for higher education costs, which I support.

The third leg will be a research and development tax credit that is being developed now and I hope will be introduced in the near future.

With these tax proposals, we can help more Americans raise their kids today, educate them tomorrow, and provide them with good job opportunities in thriving American businesses in the future.

Mr. FAIRCLOTH. Mr. President, today I am joining with Senators HATCH and LIEBERMAN to introduce the Capital Formation Act of 1995. This bipartisan effort sends a clear signal that there is broad-based support for a capital gains tax cut to stimulate job creation, foster sound economic growth, and enhance U.S. international competitiveness.

Prior to my election to the Senate, I spent 45 years in the private sector running a small business and meeting a payroll. I learned firsthand that a cut in the capital gains tax rate would stimulate the release of billions of dollars of unproductive capital, unlock economic assets, and encourage new investment by both mature and new busi-

nesses. Moreover, a reduction in capital gains taxes would have a powerful impact on the entrepreneurial segment of the economy, thereby creating new start-up companies and new jobs.

I commend Senators HATCH and LIEBERMAN for working together to craft a bipartisan capital gains tax cut proposal. I am proud to be the first cosponsor of this bill, and I sincerely hope that many of our colleagues—Democrats and Republicans—will join this important effort to provide much needed tax relief and encourage further economic growth.

ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 400

At the request of Mrs. HUTCHISON, the name of the Senator from Utah [Mr. HATCH] was added as a cosponsor of S. 400, a bill to provide for appropriate remedies for prison conditions, and for other purposes.

S. 401

At the request of Mr. LEAHY, the name of the Senator from Massachusetts [Mr. KERRY] was added as a cosponsor of S. 401, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to clarify the excise tax treatment of hard apple cider.

S. 495

At the request of Mrs. KASSEBAUM, the names of the Senator from Washington [Mr. GORTON] and the Senator from Tennessee [Mr. FRIST] were added as cosponsors of S. 495, a bill to amend the Higher Education Act of 1965 to stabilize the student loan programs, improve congressional oversight, and for other purposes.

S. 593

At the request of Mr. HATCH, the name of the Senator from Florida [Mr. MACK] was added as a cosponsor of S. 593, a bill to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to authorize the export of new drugs and for other purposes.

S. 854

At the request of Mr. LUGAR, the name of the Senator from Pennsylvania [Mr. SANTORUM] was added as a cosponsor of S. 854, a bill to amend the Food Security Act of 1985 to improve the agricultural resources conservation program, and for other purposes.

S. 896

At the request of Mr. CHAFEE, the names of the Senator from Rhode Island [Mr. PELL], the Senator from West Virginia [Mr. ROCKEFELLER], the Senator from Colorado [Mr. CAMPBELL], and the Senator from Texas [Mrs. HUTCHISON] were added as cosponsors of S. 896, a bill to amend title XIX of the Social Security Act to make certain technical corrections relating to physicians' services, and for other purposes.

SENATE RESOLUTION 85

At the request of Mr. CHAFEE, the name of the Senator from Oklahoma [Mr. INHOFE] was added as a cosponsor of Senate Resolution 85, a resolution to express the sense of the Senate that obstetrician-gynecologists should be included in Federal laws relating to the provision of health care.

SENATE RESOLUTION 103

At the request of Mr. DOMENICI, the names of the Senator from Alabama [Mr. HEFLIN], the Senator from Rhode Island [Mr. CHAFEE], and the Senator from Hawaii [Mr. AKAKA] were added as cosponsors of Senate Resolution 103, a resolution to proclaim the week of October 15 through October 21, 1995, as National Character Counts Week, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED

THE NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM DESIGNATION ACT OF 1995

EXON AMENDMENT NO. 1462

Mr. EXON proposed an amendment to the bill (S. 440) to amend title 23, United States Code, to provide for the designation of the National Highway System, and for other purposes; as follows:

At the appropriate place in the bill insert the following:

SEC. 301. SHORT TITLE.

This amendment may be cited as the "Federal Highway and Railroad Grade Crossing Safety Act of 1995".

SEC. . INTELLIGENT VEHICLE-HIGHWAY SYSTEMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—In implementing the Intelligent Vehicle-Highway Systems Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 307 note), the Secretary of Transportation shall ensure that the National Intelligent Vehicle-Highway Systems Program addresses, in a comprehensive and coordinated manner, the use of intelligent vehicle-highway technologies to promote safety at railroad-highway grade crossings. The Secretary of Transportation shall ensure that two or more operational tests funded under such Act shall promote highway traffic safety and railroad safety.

SEC. . STATE HIGHWAY SAFETY MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS.

(a) AMENDMENT OF REGULATIONS.—The Secretary of Transportation shall conduct a rulemaking proceeding to amend the regulations under section 500.407 of title 23, Code of Federal Regulations, to require that each highway safety management system developed, established, and implemented by a State shall, among countermeasures and priorities established under subsection (b)(2) of that section—

(1) include public railroad-highway grade-crossing closure plans that are aimed at eliminating high-risk or redundant crossings (as defined by the Secretary);

(2) include railroad-highway grade-crossing policies that limit the creation of new at-grade crossings for vehicle or pedestrian traffic, recreational use, or any other purpose; and

(3) include plans for State policies, programs, and resources to further reduce death and injury at high-risk railroad-highway grade crossings.

(b) DEADLINE.—The Secretary of Transportation shall complete the rulemaking proceeding described in subsection (a) and prescribe the required amended regulations, not later than one year after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. . VIOLATION OF GRADE-CROSSING LAWS AND REGULATIONS.

(a) FEDERAL REGULATIONS.—Section 31311 of title 49, United States Code, is amended by

adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(h) GRADE-CROSSING VIOLATIONS.—

“(1) SANCTIONS.—The Secretary shall issue regulations establishing sanctions and penalties relating to violations, by persons operating commercial motor vehicles, of laws and regulations pertaining to railroad-highway grade crossings.

“(2) MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS.—Regulations issued under paragraph (1) shall, at a minimum, require that—

“(A) the penalty for a single violation shall not be less than a 60-day disqualification of the driver's commercial driver's license; and

“(B) any employer that knowingly allows, permits, authorizes, or requires an employee to operate a commercial motor vehicle in violation of such a law or regulation shall be subject to a civil penalty of not more than \$10,000.”.

(b) DEADLINE.—The initial regulations required under section 31310(h) of title 49, United States Code, shall be issued not later than one year after the date of enactment of this Act.

(c) STATE REGULATIONS.—Section 31311(a) of title 49, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(18) GRADE-CROSSING REGULATIONS.—The State shall adopt and enforce regulations prescribed by the Secretary under section 31310(h) of this title.”.

SEC. . SAFETY ENFORCEMENT.

(a) COOPERATION BETWEEN FEDERAL AND STATE AGENCIES.—The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, and the Office of Motor Carriers within the Federal Highway Administration, shall on a continuing basis cooperate and work with the National Association of Governors' Highway Safety Representatives, the Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance, and Operation Lifesaver, Inc., to improve compliance with and enforcement of laws and regulations pertaining to railroad-highway grade crossings.

(b) REPORT.—The Secretary of Transportation shall submit a report to Congress by January 1, 1996, indicating (1) how the Department worked with the above mentioned entities to improve the awareness of the highway and commercial vehicle safety and law enforcement communities of regulations and safety challenges at railroad-highway grade crossings, and (2) how resources are being allocated to better address these challenges and enforce such regulations.

SEC. . CROSSING ELIMINATION; STATEWIDE CROSSING FREEZE.

(a) STATEMENT OF POLICY.—

(1) Railroad-highway grade crossings present inherent hazards to the safety of railroad operations and to the safety of persons using those crossings. It is in the public interest—

(A) to eliminate redundant and high risk railroad-highway grade crossings; and

(B) to limit the creation of new crossings to the minimum necessary to provide for the reasonable mobility of the American people and their property, including emergency access.

(2) Elimination of redundant and high-risk railroad-highway grade crossings is nec-

essary to permit optimum use of available funds to improve the safety of remaining crossings, including funds provided under Federal law.

(3) Effective programs to reduce the number of unneeded railroad-highway grade crossings, and to close those crossings that cannot be made reasonably safe (due to reasons of topography, angles of intersection, etc.), require the partnership of Federal, State, and local officials and agencies, and affected railroads.

(4) Promotion of a balanced national transportation system requires that highway planning specifically take into consideration the interface between highways and the national railroad system.

(b) PARTNERSHIP AND OVERSIGHT.—The Secretary shall foster a partnership among Federal, State, and local transportation officials and agencies to reduce the number of railroad-highway grade crossings and to improve safety at remaining crossings. The Secretary shall make provision for periodic review to ensure that each State (including State subdivisions and local governments) is making substantial, continued progress toward achievement of the purposes of this section.

(c) CROSSING FREEZE.—If, upon review, and after opportunity for a hearing, the Secretary determines that a State or political subdivision thereof has failed to make substantial, continued progress toward achievement of the purposes of this section, then the Secretary shall impose a limit on the maximum number of public railroad-highway grade crossings in that State. The limitation imposed by the Secretary under this subsection shall remain in effect until the State demonstrates compliance with the requirements of this section. In addition, the Secretary may, for a period of not more than 3 years after such a determination, require compliance with specific numeric targets for net reductions in the number of railroad-highway grade crossings (including specification of hazard categories with which such crossings are associated).

(d) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary shall issue such regulations as may be necessary to carry out this section.

EXON AMENDMENT NO. 1463

Mr. EXON proposed an amendment to the bill S. 440, supra; as follows:

At the appropriate place in the bill add the following:

SEC. . TRUCK LENGTH AND THE NORTH AMERICAN FREE TRADE AGREEMENT.

Any federal regulatory standard for single trailer length issued pursuant to negotiations and procedures authorized under the North American Free Trade Agreement, shall not exceed fifty three feet.

SMITH (AND GREGG) AMENDMENT NO. 1464

Mr. CHAFEE (for Mr. SMITH for himself and Mr. GREGG) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 440, supra; as follows:

At the appropriate place on the bill add the following new section:

SEC. .

The State of New Hampshire shall be deemed as having met the safety belt use law requirements of section 153 of title 23 of the U.S. Code, upon certification by the Secretary of Transportation that the State has achieved—

(a) a safety belt use rate in each of fiscal years ending September 30, 1995 and September 30, 1996, of not less than 50 percent; and

(b) a safety belt use rate in each succeeding fiscal year thereafter of not less than the national average safety belt use rate, as determined by the Secretary of Transportation.

WARNER (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENT. NO. 1465

Mr. WARNER (for himself, Mr. CHAFEE, and Mr. BAUCUS) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 440, supra; as follows:

On page 22, between lines 2 and 3, insert the following:

SEC. 1. . APPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS TO THIRD PARTY SELLERS.

Section 133(d) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(5) APPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS TO THIRD PARTY SELLERS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraphs (B) and (C), in the case of a transportation enhancement activity funded from the allocation required under paragraph (2), if real property or an interest in real property is to be acquired from a qualified organization exclusively for conservation purposes (as determined under section 170(h) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986), the organization shall be considered to be the owner of the property for the purpose of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 4601 et seq.).

“(B) FEDERAL APPROVAL PRIOR TO INVOLVEMENT OF QUALIFIED ORGANIZATION.—If Federal approval of the acquisition of the real property or interest predates the involvement of a qualified organization described in subparagraph (A) in the acquisition of the property, the organization shall be considered to be an acquiring agency or person as described in section 24.101(a)(2) of title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, for the purpose of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 4601 et seq.).

“(C) ACQUISITIONS ON BEHALF OF RECIPIENTS OF FEDERAL FUNDS.—If a qualified organization described in subparagraph (A) has contracted with a State highway administration or other recipient of Federal funds to acquire the real property or interest on behalf of the recipient, the organization shall be considered to be an agent of the recipient for the purpose of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 4601 et seq.).”.

On page 26, between lines 8 and 9, insert the following:

(3) ORANGE STREET BRIDGE, MISSOULA, MONTANA.—Notwithstanding section 149 of title 23, United States Code, or any other law, a project to construct new capacity for the Orange Street Bridge in Missoula, Montana, shall be eligible for funding under the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program established under the section.

On page 26, between lines 13 and 14, insert the following:

(c) TRAFFIC MONITORING, MANAGEMENT, AND CONTROL FACILITIES AND PROGRAMS.—The first sentence of section 149(b) of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2), by striking “or” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (3), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(4) to establish or operate a traffic monitoring, management, and control facility or program if the Secretary, after consultation with the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, determines that the facility or program is likely to contribute to the attainment of a national ambient air quality standard.”.

On page 30, strike line 14 and insert the following:

SEC. 119. INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS.

On page 30, lines 15 and 16, strike “INTELLIGENT VEHICLE-HIGHWAY SYSTEMS” and insert “INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS”.

On page 31, lines 1 and 2, strike “INTELLIGENT VEHICLE-HIGHWAY SYSTEMS” and insert “INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS”.

On page 31, lines 10 and 11, strike “intelligent vehicle-highway systems” and insert “intelligent transportation systems”.

On page 31, between lines 20 and 21, insert the following:

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The table in section 1107(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-240; 105 Stat. 2048) is amended—

(A) in item 10, by striking “(IVHS)” and inserting “(ITS)”;

(B) in item 29, by striking “intelligent/vehicle highway systems” and inserting “intelligent transportation systems”.

(2) Section 6009(a)(6) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-240; 105 Stat. 2176) is amended by striking “intelligent vehicle highway systems” and inserting “intelligent transportation systems”.

(3) Part B of title VI of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-240; 23 U.S.C. 307 note) is amended—

(A) by striking the part heading and inserting the following:

“PART B—INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS”;

(B) in section 6051, by striking “Intelligent Vehicle-Highway Systems” and inserting “Intelligent Transportation Systems”;

(C) by striking “intelligent vehicle-highway systems” each place it appears and inserting “intelligent transportation systems”;

(D) in section 6054—

(i) in subsection (a)(2)(A), by striking “intelligent vehicle-highway” and inserting “intelligent transportation systems”; and

(ii) in the subsection heading of subsection (b), by striking “INTELLIGENT VEHICLE-HIGHWAY SYSTEMS” and inserting “INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS”;

(E) in the subsection heading of section 6056(a), by striking “IVHS” and inserting “ITS”;

(F) in the subsection heading of each of subsections (a) and (b) of section 6058, by striking “IVHS” and inserting “ITS”;

(G) in the paragraph heading of section 6059(1), by striking “IVHS” and inserting “ITS”.

(4) Section 310(c)(3) of the Department of Transportation and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1995 (Public Law 103-331; 23 U.S.C. 104 note), is amended by striking “intelligent vehicle highway systems” and inserting “intelligent transportation systems”.

(5) Section 109(a) of the Hazardous Materials Transportation Authorization Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-311; 23 U.S.C. 307 note) is amended—

(A) by striking “Intelligent Vehicle-Highway Systems” each place it appears and inserting “Intelligent Transportation Systems”;

(B) by striking “intelligent vehicle-highway system” and inserting “intelligent transportation system”.

(6) Section 5316(d) of title 49, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in the subsection heading, by striking “INTELLIGENT VEHICLE-HIGHWAY” and inserting “INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION”; and

(B) by striking “intelligent vehicle-highway” each place it appears and inserting “intelligent transportation”.

On page 33, line 19, strike “intelligent vehicle-highway systems” and insert “intelligent transportation systems”.

On page 36, line 12, strike the quotation marks and the following period.

On page 36, between lines 12 and 13, insert the following:

“(24) State Route 168 (South Battlefield Boulevard), Virginia, from the Great Bridge Bypass to the North Carolina State line.”.

On page 38, beginning on line 2, strike “and shall not” and all that follows through “program” on line 4.

On page 40, strike lines 1 through 3.

On page 43, between lines 14 and 15, insert the following:

SEC. 1. REPORT ON ACCELERATED VEHICLE RETIREMENT PROGRAMS.

Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency shall transmit to Congress a report evaluating the effectiveness of all accelerated vehicle retirement programs described in section 108(f)(1)(A)(xvi) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7408(f)(1)(A)(xvi)) in existence on the date of enactment of this Act. The report shall evaluate—

(1) the certainties of emissions reductions gained from each program;

(2) the variability of emissions of retired vehicles;

(3) the reduction in the number of vehicle miles traveled by the vehicles retired as a result of each program;

(4) the subsequent actions of vehicle owners participating in each program concerning the purchase of a new or used vehicle or the use of such a vehicle;

(5) the length of the credit given to a purchaser of a retired vehicle under each program;

(6) equity impacts of the programs on the used car market for buyers and sellers; and

(7) such other factors as the Administrator determines appropriate.

On page 57, line 4, insert “and” at the end.

On page 57, line 8, strike “and” at the end. On page 57, strike lines 9 through 11.

NICKLES AMENDMENT NO. 1466

Mr. NICKLES proposed an amendment to the bill S. 440, supra; as follows:

At the appropriate place in title I, insert the following:

SEC. 1. INTERCITY RAIL INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT FROM MASS TRANSIT ACCOUNT OF HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.

Section 5323 of title 49, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(m) INTERCITY RAIL INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT.—Any assistance provided to a State that does not have Amtrak service as of date of enactment of this Act from the Mass Transit Account of the Highway Trust Fund may be used for capital improvements to, and operating support for, intercity passenger rail service.”.

STEVENS AMENDMENT NO. 1467

Mr. STEVENS proposed an amendment to the bill S. 440, supra, as follows:

At the appropriate place in title I of the bill insert the following new section:

SEC. . MORATORIUM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no agency of the Federal government may take any action to prepare, promulgate, or implement any rule or regulation addressing rights of way authorized pursuant to Revised Statutes 2477 (43 U.S.C. 932), as such law was in effect prior to October 21, 1976.

(b) This section shall cease to have any force or effect after December 1, 1995.

NOTICE OF HEARINGS

PERMANENT SUBCOMMITTEE ON INVESTIGATIONS

Mr. ROTH. Mr. President, I would like to announce for the information of the Senate and the public that the Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations of the Committee on Governmental Affairs, will hold hearings regarding the investigation of friendly fire incident during the Persian Gulf war.

This hearing will take place on Thursday, June 29, 1995, in room 342 of the Dirksen Senate Office Building. For further information, please contact Harold Damelin of the subcommittee staff at 224-3721.

AUTHORITY FOR COMMITTEES TO MEET

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

Mr. WARNER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on the Judiciary be authorized to hold a business meeting during the session of the Senate on Thursday, June 22, 1995, at 10:15 a.m. in SD 226.